Voronoia4RNA—a database of atomic packing densities of RNA structures and their complexes

Jochen Ismer\textsuperscript{1}, Alexander S. Rose\textsuperscript{1}, Johanna K. S. Tiemann\textsuperscript{1}, Andrean Goede\textsuperscript{2}, Kristian Rother\textsuperscript{3} and Peter W. Hildebrand\textsuperscript{1,*}

\textsuperscript{1}Charité, Institute of Medical Physics and Biophysics, Proteininformatics Group, Ziegelstr. 7/9, 10117, \textsuperscript{2}Institute of Biochemistry, Computational Systems Biochemistry Group, Seestr. 73, 13347 Berlin, Germany and \textsuperscript{3}Laboratory of Structural Bioinformatics, Institute of Biology and Molecular Biotechnology, Adam Mickiewicz University, ul. Umultowska 89, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

Received August 15, 2012; Revised October 10, 2012; Accepted October 11, 2012

ABSTRACT

Voronoia4RNA (http://proteininformatics.charite.de/voronoia4rna/) is a structural database storing precalculated atomic volumes, atomic packing densities (PDs) and coordinates of internal cavities for currently 1869 RNAs and RNA–protein complexes. Atomic PDs are a measure for van der Waals interactions. Regions of low PD, containing water-sized internal cavities, refer to local structure flexibility or compressibility. RNA molecules build up the skeleton of large molecular machineries such as ribosomes or form smaller flexible structures such as riboswitches. The wealth of structural data on RNAs and their complexes allows setting up representative data sets and analysis of their structural features. We calculated atomic PDs from atomic volumes determined by the Voronoi cell method and internal cavities analytically by Delaunay triangulation. Reference internal PD values were derived from a non-redundant sub-data set of buried atoms. Comparison of internal PD values shows that RNA is more tightly packed than proteins. Finally, the relation between structure size, resolution and internal PD of the Voronoia4RNA entries is discussed. RNA, protein structures and their complexes can be visualized by the Jmol-based viewer Provi. Variations in PD are depicted by a color code. Internal cavities are represented by their molecular boundaries or schematically as balls.

INTRODUCTION

Atomic packing density (PD) is a measure applied to investigate various aspects of RNA or protein structures. Analysis of PD serves as quality check of tertiary structure models (1), to estimate van der Waals (vdW) forces (2,3) and to detect regions of intrinsic flexibility (4) or compressibility (5,6). In addition, volumetric calculations were implemented to determine and to analyze ligand-binding pockets (7,8). Frequent application and evaluation of PDs for proteins led to the implementation of several applications and databases to make the findings accessible (9–11). However, at the moment no such application or database for RNAs and RNA–protein complexes (referred to as RNA structures) exists.

Regions of low internal PDs often contain water-sized cavities (referred to as internal cavities). The analysis of channels and transporters revealed that internal cavities cluster along the channel’s pore or within the hinge regions of transporters. As a result, the internal PD of channels and transporters turned out to be lower than those of water soluble helix bundle proteins (3). The internal PD of membrane proteins, which structures are considered to be more rigid, again turned out to be comparable to those of helix bundle water soluble proteins. The more general outcome of these analyses was that vdW interactions do not energetically compensate for the absence of the hydrophobic effect within the lipid bilayer (4). Moreover, it shows that the combination of analysis of internal PD and internal cavities provides a valuable tool to investigate structure–function relationships of biomolecules.
Initial analysis of PDs of 50 non-redundant RNA structures by means of the Voronoi method shows that these structures are packed more tightly than proteins (12). Analysis of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic contacts on a topological network representation of RNA structures was again used to investigate and predict flexible sites (13,14). A measure for atomic PD in conjunction with an analysis of hydrogen bonds was used to evaluate flexible sites of the ribosomal 16S RNA identified by ultraviolet-induced photocrosslinking (15). To reveal sites of high PD biochemically, changes to the three-dimensional structure of RNA upon mutation of residues to analogs with higher volumes were investigated (16). A statistical analysis of geometric features was used to analyze the shape and packing of interface contacts in RNA–protein complexes (17,18). However, in contrast to protein structures (10), volumetric methods remain to be applied to the combined analysis of PD and molecular function in RNA structures.

The number of RNA structures deposited in the PDB is steadily increasing (19). Although between 1976 and 2005 only ~700 RNA structures were deposited in the PDB, >1500 entries have been added since then. Crystallization, X-ray and electron microscopy (EM) analysis of ribosomal subunits from both prokaryotes (20–22) and eukaryotes (23–26) revealed the three-dimensional organization of ribosomes and provide a large data pool for further analysis. The different folding motifs of RNA structures have been studied in great detail as reviewed in (27) and stored in several databases (28,29). Atomic level tools have been developed to analyze and classify particular base–base interactions (30) and to assess the quality of RNA tertiary structure models (31,32). However, so far, no tool has been available for detailed analysis and visualization of PDs and internal cavities of RNA structures.

Here, we introduce Voronoia4RNA, a database that stores PDs, and internal cavities from presently 1869 RNA containing PDB structures, resolved at 3.5 Å or better. For the calculation of PD, vdW volumes were derived from the Voronoi cell method (33). It calculates atomic volumes based on the allocation of space among atoms using hyperbolic surfaces. A non-redundant sub-data set of 606 entries was analyzed to calculate reference PDs for different groups of atoms (34). In line with previous analysis (12), we find higher average internal PD values for RNA when compared with proteins. We further discuss how internal PD relates with size and resolution of the structures. The functionality of the website will be described in detail, i.e. how different data sets can be composed based on search terms, compared with reference values, visualized by the Jmol-based molecule viewer Provi and downloaded for further analysis. Thus, Voronoia4RNA aims to help clarify the structure–function relationships of RNA structures by providing data sets for large-scale statistical analysis as well as an integrated molecular viewer for the exploratory analysis of particular structures.

METHODS AND DESCRIPTION

Voronoi cell method

The original Voronoi method (35) allocates the space between points by constructing planes in equidistance of every pair of neighboring points. In the three-dimensional space, the constructed planes intersect and thereby form polyhedral volumes enclosing these points. In the context of RNA or protein molecules, the polyhedral volumes are created around atom positions to estimate the volume occupied by each atom. Furthermore, the planes are constructed at a distance weighted by the atoms vdW radii to take different atom sizes into account.

For Voronoia4RNA, we applied a specified version of the original Voronoi method, the Voronoi cell method, that uses hyperbolic surfaces instead of planes to allocate the atomic volumes (33). First, the solvent-excluded volume of a structure is calculated with a probe radius of 1.4 Å to differentiate between buried and exposed atoms. Then, for each atom two different volumes are calculated. $V_{vdW}$ is the volume assigned to each atom by the Voronoi cell method but only inside the atoms vdW sphere. $V_{so}$ is the remaining solvent excluded volume assigned to each atom. From these two volumes, the PD for every atom is calculated as $PD = V_{vdW}/(V_{vdW} + V_{so})$.

The absolute value of the PD depends directly on the used set of atom radii. Voronoia4RNA uses the ProtOr (36) atom radius set for protein atoms and NucProt (12) set for RNA atoms that were both determined analytically from reference structures. Atom types from RNA and proteins are grouped according to their chemical nature, valence and number of attached hydrogen atoms. To determine the vdW radii of these atomic groups, the contacts of each atom group were analyzed and an average radius was calculated (12,36). Internal cavities are determined analytically from the structures by a Delaunay triangulation (37). Internal cavities are defined as a buried space within a structure that is big enough to accommodate at least a 1.4 Å radius water-sized probe.

Database description

For Voronoia4RNA, only PDB entries resolved by X-ray or EM at 3.5 Å or better, or by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) containing at least one RNA chain were considered. At the moment Voronoia4RNA is composed of 1340 X-ray, 524 NMR, 4 fiber diffraction and 1 EM structure summing up to 1869 entries; 947 of these structures contain one or more proteins. Voronoia4RNA can be searched for PDB entry codes or for keywords contained in the header of the PDB file. Results of a search can be visualized on-line (see below) or downloaded for further analysis. To calculate mean PD values for each atom group, we analyzed a reference data set of RNA structures derived from the database of the BGSU RNA Structural Bioinformatics Group. They use a length-dependent redundancy criteria (38) to compile non-redundant data sets of RNA structures (http://rna.bgsu.edu/nrlist/). The 2012-05-05 release contains 621 structures with a resolution of at least 3.5 Å. After removing 15 synthetic RNA constructs, our reference data set contains...
606 entries for which we calculated reference internal PDs. We solely investigate the internal packing of the structures and not the packing with the solvent, thus we exclude non-buried atoms.

**Data processing**

In the first step, the input file, which is ideally in the standard PDB format, is preprocessed to correct for common aberrations from the PDB standard, such as misaligned columns or uncommon atom names. Only internal (buried) water molecules are considered for the calculation of PDs. All other water molecules are ignored. Non-water heteroatoms are only considered if they are in close contact (at least 2.8 Å) to the structure. From NMR structures, the first model is selected for the calculation of PDs and internal cavities. Split PDB entries are generally merged. In the second step, $V_{vdW}$ and $V_{se}$ are calculated by the Voronoi cell method and stored in the volume file, which is a PDB file extended by the atomic PD values. Additionally, an extended volume file is created that also lists $z$-scores for each atom and for the complete structure.

Here, the $z$-score is the normalized difference between the internal PD value and the according reference internal PD value.

**Visualization**

PDs and internal cavities can be visualized on-line with Provi in the context of their three-dimensional structure (Figure 1). Provi is a Jmol- (http://www.jmol.org/) based web application that visualizes macro-molecular structures and related data. Atoms are colored according to their PD value on a color scale from red (value 0.0) over white (0.5) to blue (1.0). An internal cavity is represented as a sphere, placed at the geometric center of the atoms that delineate a cavity, with diameter approximating the cavity sizes. Additionally, internal cavities can be represented by their molecular boundaries.

**DISCUSSION**

The large number of diverse RNA structures, including large molecular machineries such as ribosomes or smaller flexible structures such as riboswitches, now allows setting up and analyzing representative data sets. Here, we introduced Voronoia4RNA, a database containing data on atomic PDs and internal cavities of 1869 RNAs and RNA–protein complexes. Our analysis of a reverence sub-data set of 606 structures reveals higher average internal PD values of RNA (0.79, SD: 0.09) than of proteins (0.71, SD: 0.11). This confirms results of a previous analysis of a smaller data set of 50 RNA structures showing that RNA is packed more tightly than proteins (12).

Voronoia4RNA contains entries of different sizes in the order of $10^2$–$10^5$ atoms with resolutions ranging from 0.6 to 3.5 Å. To investigate whether there is a relation between size, resolution and internal PDs, size (Figure 2) and resolution (Figure 3) were plotted against the reference internal PD values. The former plot indicates that there

![Figure 1. PD and internal cavities of a sample structure.](image1)

![Figure 2. Average internal PD values of RNA structures (blue dots) plotted against the number of atoms.](image2)
is a relation between structure size and internal PD. Structures with <3000 atoms show considerably higher internal PD values than the structures with more atoms (Figure 2). This is particularly true for RNA–protein complexes (Figure 2, blue line), while the RNA part alone shows a weaker relation between size and internal PD (Figure 2, red line). The latter plot shows that structures resolved at higher resolution also have a tendency for higher internal PD values (Figure 3). To elucidate whether there is a correlation between structure size and resolution, these two variables were also plotted against each other. We find that the average structure size correlates with the resolution (Figure 3, black circles).

The observed relation between structure size, resolution and PD can arise from several causes. For proteins, it has been described that internal cavities and smaller internal packing defects only occur in structures with a minimum size (10). As a rule, protein structures >150 residues contain internal cavities. In analogy, small RNA structures may not contain internal cavities and may also be tightly packed. Next, larger assemblies contain many intermolecular surfaces at which internal cavities can be found (39). In low-resolution structures of large assemblies, such as the ribosome, not all internal water molecules are resolved. This results in more empty internal cavities and lower average internal PDs. It remains elusive, to which extent these packing defects have a functional role, i.e. contribute to internal flexibilities or are simply an effect of the lower structure resolution. Taken together, our initial analysis of the data stored in Voronoia4RNA provides promising starting points for more detailed explorations of PDs of RNA structures.
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